PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

Patent Mail Received

ABELEV, Gary Dorsey & Whitney L.L.P. 250 Park Avenue New York, NY 10177 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

OCT 19 2005

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 October 2005 (13.10.2005)

PCT/US2004/010152

Applicant's or agent's file reference

IMPORTANT NOTICE

069225.0153 475387 – 14 International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004) Priority date (day/month/year) 31 March 2003 (31.03.2003)

Applicant

THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Authorized officer

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 10

PATENT COOPERATION TREALY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

See item 4 below

Priority date (day/month/year)

FOR FURTHER ACTION

International filing date (day/month/year)

PCT/US2004/010152	31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)	31 March 2003 (31.03.2003)]
International Patent Classificat G01B 9/02	ion (IPC) or national classification and IPC	
Applicant THE GENERAL HOSPITAL	CORPORATION	
This international preli International Searching	minary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issue Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	ed by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2. This REPORT consists	of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
In the attached sheets, to the international pre	any reference to the written opinion of the Internal liminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead	ational Searching Authority should be read as a reference id.
This report contains in	dications relating to the following items:	
Box No. I	Basis of the report	

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial

	Date of issuance of this report 01 October 2005 (01.10.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Masashi Honda
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

Applicant's or agent's file reference

Box No. II

Box No. III

Box No. IV

Priority

applicability

Lack of unity of invention

International application No.

069225.0153

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the REO'D 23 DEC 2004 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY GARY ABELEV PCT BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA WRITTEN OPINION OF THE NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) 2 0 DEC 2004 Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below 069225.0153 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004) 31 March 2003 (31.03.2003) PCT/US04/10152 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G01B 9/02 and US C1.: 356/479 Applicant THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No TI Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US TO A MISSISSISS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Telephone No. 703-308-0956

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

International	application	No.	
PCT/US04/1	0152		

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 					
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material					
a sequence listing					
table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material					
in written format					
in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing					
contained in international application as filed.					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/10152

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 2-10, 14-20, and 24	YES
		Claims 1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 2-10, 14-20, and 24	YES
	•	Claims 1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-26	YES
		Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Waelti et al(WO 01/38820).

Waelti et al teach an interferometer sample arm that contains an arrangement(120) for providing a delay in at least one of two radiations.

Claims 2-10, 14-20, and 24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest generating a plurality of images based on the radiations of the sample arm and a reference arm.